Standard Test Method for Measuring Air Performance Characteristics of Vacuum Cleaners

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F558; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers procedures for determining air performance characteristics of commercial and household upright, canister, stick, hand-held, utility, and combination-type vacuum cleaners having provisions for attaching a hose and incorporating a series universal motor. This test method can be applied to the carpet cleaning mode of operation.

1.2 These tests and calculations include determination of suction, airflow, air power, maximum air power, and input power under standard operating conditions (see Note 1). The nozzle mounted on plenum testing is an ideal air performance measurement and is not intended to represent the actual air performance during carpet or floor cleaning.

Note 1—For more information on air performance characteristics, see Refs (1-6).

1.3 The foot-pound-inch system of units is used in this standard. The values in parentheses are given for information only.

1.4 This standard may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. A specific precautionary statement is given in Note 2.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

E1 Specification for ASTM Liquid-in-Glass Thermometers
E177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in

ASTM Test Methods

E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method
E2251 Specification for Liquid-in-Glass ASTM Thermometers with Low-Hazard Precision Liquids
F431 Specification for Air Performance Measurement Plenum Chamber for Vacuum Cleaners

2.2 AMCA Standard:

210-85 Laboratory Methods of Testing Fans for Rating

2.3 IEC Standard:

IEC 60312 Ed 3.2 Vacuum Cleaners for Household Use—Methods of Measuring the Performance

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 air power, AP, W, n—in a vacuum cleaner, the net time rate of work performed by an air stream while expending energy to produce an airflow by a vacuum cleaner under specified air resistance conditions.

3.1.2 automatic bleed valve, n—any device a part of a vacuum cleaner’s design which automatically introduces an intentional leak within the vacuum cleaner’s system when manufacturer specified conditions are met.

3.1.3 corrected airflow, Q, cfm, n—in a vacuum cleaner, the volume of air movement per unit of time under standard atmospheric conditions.

3.1.4 input power, W, n—the rate at which electrical energy is absorbed by a vacuum cleaner.

3.1.5 model, n—the designation of a group of vacuum cleaners having the same mechanical and electrical construction with only cosmetic or nonfunctional differences.

3.1.6 population, n—the total of all units of a particular model vacuum cleaner being tested.

3.1.7 repeatability limit (r), n—the value below which the absolute difference between two individual test results obtained

---

1 This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F11 on Vacuum Cleaners and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F11.22 on Air Performance.


2 The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references appended to this test method.

3 For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For Annual Book of ASTM Standards volume information, refer to the standard’s Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

4 Available from Air Movement and Control Association, 30 West University Dr., Arlington Heights, IL, 60004.

5 Available from the IEC Web store, webstore.iec.ch, or American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036.
under repeatability condition may be expected to occur with a probability of approximately 0.95 (95 %).

3.1.8 repeatability standard deviation (S_r), n—the standard deviation of test results obtained under repeatability conditions.

3.1.9 reproducibility limit (R), n—the value below which the absolute difference between two test results obtained under reproducibility conditions may be expected to occur with a probability of approximately 0.95 (95 %).

3.1.10 reproducibility standard deviation (S_R), n—the standard deviation of test results obtained under reproducibility conditions.

3.1.11 sample, n—a group of vacuum cleaners taken from a large collection of vacuum cleaners of one particular model which serves to provide information that may be used as a basis for making a decision concerning the larger collection.

3.1.12 standard air density, \( \rho_{std} \), lb/ft\(^3\), n—atmospheric air density of 0.075 lb/ft\(^3\) (1.2014 Kg/m\(^3\)).

3.1.12.1 Discussion—This value of air density corresponds to atmospheric air at a temperature of 68°F (20°C), 14.696 psi (101.325 kPa), and approximately 30 % relative humidity.

3.1.13 suction, inch of water, n—in a vacuum cleaner, the absolute difference between ambient and subatmospheric pressure.

3.1.14 test run, n—the definitive procedure that produces the singular result of calculated maximum air power.

3.1.15 test station pressure, \( P_s \), inch of mercury, n—for a vacuum cleaner, the absolute barometric pressure at the test location (elevation) and test time.

3.1.15.1 Discussion—It is not the equivalent mean sea level value of barometric pressure typically reported by the airport and weather bureaus. It is sometimes referred to as the uncorrected barometric pressure (that is, not corrected to the mean sea level equivalent value). Refer to 5.5 for additional information.

3.1.16 unit, n—a single vacuum cleaner of the model being tested.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 The test results allow the comparison of the maximum potential air power available for cleaning tasks when tested under the conditions of this test method. The test results do not indicate the actual air power present during the cleaning process due to the effects of the various tools in use and surfaces being cleaned. During the nozzle on plenum chamber air performance testing, the brushroll is unloaded and this condition is not representative of the brushroll being in contact with carpet or other surfaces being cleaned.

5. Apparatus

5.1 Plenum Chamber—See Specification F431 or IEC 60312, Section 5.2.8.2 (Figure 13c).

5.2 Water Manometers, or equivalent instruments. One to measure from 0 to 6 in. (152.4 mm) in increments of 0.01 in. (0.254 mm), and one with increments of 0.1 in. (2.54 mm) for use in making measurements above 6 in. (152.4 mm). A single instrument having a resolution of 0.01 in. (0.254 mm) over the entire required range may be used instead of two separate instruments.

5.3 Wattmeter, to provide measurements accurate to within ±1 %.

5.4 Voltmeter, to provide measurements accurate to within ±1 %.

5.5 Barometer, with an accuracy of ±0.05 in. of mercury (1.27 mm of mercury), capable of measuring and displaying absolute barometric pressure, scale divisions 0.02 in. (0.51 mm) or finer.

5.5.1 Mercury barometers, in general, measure and display the absolute barometric pressure. Some corrections may be needed for temperature and gravity. Consult the owner’s manual.

5.5.2 When purchasing an aneroid or electronic barometer, be sure to purchase one which displays the absolute barometric pressure, not the mean sea level equivalent barometric pressure value. These types of barometers generally have temperature compensation built into them and do not need to be corrected for gravity.

5.6 Sharp-Edge Orifice Plates—See specifications in Specification F431.

5.7 Thermometer—Solid-stem, ambient thermometer having a range from 18 to 89°F (or –8 to +32°C) with graduations in 0.2 F (0.1°C), conforming to the requirements for thermometer 63°F (17.2°C) as prescribed in Specification E1. As an alternative, thermometers S63F or S63C, as prescribed in Specification E2251, may be used. In addition, thermometric devices such as resistance temperature detectors (RTDs), thermistors or thermocouples of equal or better accuracy may be used.

5.8 Psychrometer—Thermometers graduated in 0.2 °F (0.1 °C).

5.9 Voltage-Regulator System, to control the input voltage to the vacuum cleaner. The regulator system shall be capable of maintaining the vacuum cleaner’s rated voltage ±1 % and rated frequency having a wave form that is essentially sinusoidal with 3 % maximum harmonic distortion for the duration of the test.

6. Sampling

6.1 A minimum of three units of the same model vacuum cleaner, selected at random in accordance with good statistical practice, shall constitute the population sample.

6.1.1 To determine the best estimate of maximum air power for the population of the vacuum cleaner model being tested,
the arithmetic mean of the maximum air power of the sample from the population shall be established by testing it to a 90 % confidence level within ±5 %.

6.1.2 Annex A2 provides a procedural example for determining the 90 % confidence level and when the sample size shall be increased (see Note 2).

Note 2—See Annex Annex A2 for method of determining 90 % confidence level.

7. Test Vacuum Cleaners

7.1 New Test Vacuum Cleaners:

7.1.1 Preconditioning a New Test Vacuum Cleaner—Run the vacuum cleaner in at rated voltage ±1 % and rated frequency with filters in place.

7.1.1.1 Preconditioning a Rotating Agitator Type Vacuum Cleaner—In a stationary position, operate the vacuum cleaner for 1 h with the agitator bristles not engaged on any surface.

7.1.1.2 Preconditioning a Straight-Air Canister Vacuum Cleaner—Operate the vacuum cleaner for 1 h with a wide-open inlet (without hose).

7.2 Used Test Vacuum Cleaners:

7.2.1 Recondition a used test vacuum cleaner; prior to the initial test run as follows:

7.2.1.1 Thoroughly remove excess dirt from the vacuum cleaner. Without using tools for disassembly, clean the entire outer surface, brushes, nozzle chamber, ductwork, inside of the chamber surrounding the primary filter, and inside hose and wands.

7.2.1.2 For vacuum cleaners using disposable filters as the primary filters, use a new disposable primary filter from the manufacturer for each test. Install it as recommended by the vacuum cleaner manufacturer.

7.2.1.3 For vacuum cleaners using water as the primary filter, empty the receptacle and refill as recommended by the manufacturer.

7.2.1.4 For vacuum cleaners using non-disposable dirt receptacles, empty in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions and clean the receptacle until its weight is within 0.07 oz (2 g) of its original weight and install it as recommended by the vacuum cleaner manufacturer.

Note 3—It is preferable to conduct this test method on new test vacuum cleaners prior to any other ASTM test methods to avoid contamination that could cause performance variations.

7.3 Test Vacuum Cleaner Settings—If various settings are provided, set the motor speed setting or suction regulator using the manufacturer’s specifications as provided in the instruction manual for normal operation. If a different setting is used, make a note of the deviation in the test report.

8. Procedure

8.1 Preparation for Test:

8.1.1 Prepare the test vacuum cleaner(s) in accordance with Section 7.

8.1.2 Set the manometers to zero and check all instruments for proper operation.

8.1.3 Record the test station pressure and the dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperature readings within 6 ft of the test area. Read the barometric pressure to the nearest 0.02 in. of mercury (0.51 mm of mercury), and the dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperatures to the nearest 0.2 °F (or 0.1 °C).

8.1.3.1 The test area shall be free of major fluctuating temperature conditions due to air conditioners or air drafts that would be indicated by a thermometer at the immediate test area.

8.1.4 Connect a manometer or equivalent instrument to the plenum chamber.

8.1.5 Connect a wattmeter and a voltmeter in accordance with Fig. 1.

8.1.5.1 Wattmeter Correction—If needed, the indication may be corrected for voltmeter and wattmeter potential coil loss by opening the load circuit on the load side of the wattmeter with the line voltage at the operating value. The wattmeter current connection may be at its most sensitive position. Subtract this loss value from the total load indication to obtain the true load. As an alternative method, use the following equation:

\[ W_t = W_i - \frac{V^2}{R_T} \]  

where:

- \( W_t \) = corrected wattage,
- \( W_i \) = indicated wattage,
- \( V \) = voltmeter reading, and
- \( R_T \) = \( R_p \times R_v/(R_p + R_v) \),

where:

- \( R_T \) = total resistance, \( \Omega \),
- \( R_p \) = wattmeter potential coil resistance, \( \Omega \), and
- \( R_v \) = voltmeter coil resistance, \( \Omega \).

8.2 Setup—Attachment Hose:

8.2.1 Connect the hose assembly to the plenum chamber hose adapter and seal only this connection. See Fig. 2.

8.2.1.1 The end of the hose assembly shall be inserted inside the hose connector adapter and be perpendicular to the plenum chamber.

8.2.1.2 The end of the hose assembly shall not project into the plenum chamber.

8.2.2 The hose should be supported and kept straight and horizontal. Maintain the vacuum cleaner in its normal operating orientation. If the hose is not intended to enter the vacuum cleaner horizontally, gradually bend the hose with a single bend from the intake port to the plenum chamber. Any restraining method should allow the hose coupling to seal at the cleaner. See Fig. 3.

8.3 Test Setup—Carpet Cleaning Mode:

8.3.1 Mount the cleaner plate as shown in Fig. 1e of Specification F431 to the plenum chamber.

8.3.2 Make an adapter by any convenient method which adapts the test vacuum cleaner’s nozzle opening to the opening in the cleaner plate.

8.3.3 Maintain the largest cross-sectional area possible throughout the adapter. This will prevent impeding the airflow between the plenum chamber and the test vacuum cleaner’s nozzle.
8.3.4 It is recommended that the hole for the adapter/plenum chamber interface be located as close, if not directly below, the dirt pickup duct for the test vacuum cleaner’s nozzle.

8.3.5 The interface between the adapter and the test vacuum cleaner’s nozzle is to be airtight. This may be achieved by any convenient means.

8.3.6 If the vacuum cleaner incorporates edge cleaning slots along the side edge(s), or slots along the front and rear edge of the bottom plate, or both, these slots should be sealed by any convenient means such as clay, tape, and so forth.

8.3.7 Do not eliminate leaks resulting from test vacuum cleaner’s construction, except at the adapter/nozzle interface as described above.

8.3.8 An example of an adapter is shown in Fig. 4. This adapter uses a closed-cell foam gasket material or molded low durometer urethane material shaped to fit the contour of the test cleaner’s nozzle opening with sufficient surface area for sealing.
8.3.9 Attach the nozzle adapter to the plenum chamber’s cleaner plate, taking care to center the adapter’s opening over the hole in the cleaner plate.

8.3.10 The interface between the adapter and the plenum chamber should be airtight. The use of foam, clay, tape, or any other convenient means may be used to make this interface airtight.

8.3.11 Mount the test vacuum cleaner to the nozzle adapter by any convenient means.

8.3.12 The test vacuum cleaner, when mounted to the plenum chamber, should be set on the plenum chamber/adapter in the user position. If needed, the test vacuum cleaner’s rear wheels should be supported to keep the cleaner’s foot parallel with the plenum chamber’s surface.

8.3.13 For test cleaners incorporating a pivoting handle, support the test vacuum cleaner’s handle at 31.5 in. above the nozzle/adapter surface.

8.3.14 For those vacuum cleaners which have a non-pivoting handle, support the test vacuum cleaner’s handle at a height such that the cleaner’s nozzle is parallel to the surface of the nozzle adapter.

8.3.15 Secure the test vacuum cleaner to the plenum chamber to prevent the test vacuum from possibly moving and breaking the airtight seal during the test.

8.3.16 If the vacuum cleaner has a brush roll or other mechanism for agitating the floor surface during cleaning, it shall be activated for the duration of the test.

8.4 Test Procedure:

8.4.1 Any automatic bleed valve which affects the air performance of the vacuum cleaner shall not be defeated.

8.4.2 Operate the vacuum cleaner with no orifice plate inserted in the plenum chamber inlet at nameplate rated voltage ±1 % and frequency ±1 Hz prior to the start of the test run to allow the unit to reach its normal operating temperature. For vacuum cleaners with dual nameplate voltage ratings, conduct testing at the highest voltage. Do this before each test run.

8.4.3 The vacuum cleaner is to be operated at its nameplate rated voltage ±1 % and frequency ±1 Hz throughout the test. For vacuum cleaners with dual nameplate voltage ratings, conduct the test at the highest voltage.

8.4.3.1 Allow the vacuum cleaner to operate at the open orifice for 1 to 2 min between test runs.

8.4.4 While operating the vacuum cleaner per 8.4.3, insert orifice plates sequentially into the orifice plate holder of the plenum chamber starting with the largest size orifice and following it with the next smaller orifice plate. Use the following orifice plates: 2.000, 1.500, 1.250, 1.000, 0.875, 0.750, 0.625, 0.500, 0.375, 0.250, and 0 in. (50.8, 38.1, 31.7, 25.4, 22.2, 19.0, 15.8, 12.7, 9.5, and 6.3 mm). The following optional orifice plates may also be used: 2.500, 2.250, 1.750, 1.375, and 1.125 in. (63.5, 57.2, 44.5, 34.9, and 28.6 mm).

8.4.5 For each orifice plate, record the suction, $h$, and input power, $P$, in that order. All readings should be taken within 10 s of the orifice insertion. Allow the vacuum cleaner to operate at the open orifice for 1 to 2 min before inserting the next orifice.

8.4.5.1 Read the suction to the nearest graduation of the instrument. Readings should be taken as soon as the manometer reaches a true peak. (When using a fluid type manometer, the liquid level may peak, drop, and peak again. The second peak is the true peak reading. A person conducting the test for the first time shall observe at least one run before recording data. See Specification F431 for instructions on how to minimize the overshoot (first peak) of the liquid level).

9. Calculation

9.1 Correction of Data to Standard Conditions:

9.1.1 Air Density Ratio—The density ratio, $D_r$, is the ratio of the air density at the time of test $\rho_{\text{test}}$, to the standard air density, $\rho_{\text{std}} = 0.075 \text{ lb/ft}^3 (1.2014 \text{ kg/m}^3)$. It is used to correct the vacuum and wattage readings to standard conditions. Find
\( \rho_{\text{test}} \) (lb/ft\(^3\) or kg/m\(^3\)) from standard psychometric charts or ASHRAE tables and calculate \( D_r \) as follows:

\[
D_r = \frac{\rho_{\text{test}}}{\rho_{\text{std}}} \tag{2}
\]

where:

\( \rho_{\text{test}} \) = the air density at the time of test, lb/ft\(^3\), and
\( \rho_{\text{std}} \) = the standard air density, 0.075 lb/ft\(^3\).

9.1.1.1 As an alternative, the following equation is intended to be used for correcting ambient conditions where the barometric pressure exceeds 27 in mercury and the dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperatures are less than 100°F (37.8°C); and may be used as an alternate method of calculating \( D_r \) (see Appendix X1 for derivation and accuracy analysis).

\[
D_r = \frac{[17.68 B_t - 0.001978 T_w^2 + 0.1064 T_w + 0.0024575 B_t (T_d - T_w) - 2.741]}{T_d + 459.7} \tag{6}
\]

where:

\( B_t \) = test station pressure at time of test, in. of mercury,
\( T_d \) = dry-bulb temperature at time of test, °F, and
\( T_w \) = wet-bulb temperature at time of test, °F.

9.1.2 Corrected Suction—Corrected suction, \( h_s \), is the manometer reading, \( h \), times the correction factor, \( C_h \), as follows:

\[
h_s = C_h h \tag{3}
\]

9.1.2.1 For series universal motors (see Ref (6)) the correction factor, \( C_h \), is calculated as follows:

\[
C_h = 1 + 0.667 (1 - D_r) \tag{4}
\]

9.1.2.2 This test method does not have any formulas available for correcting input power for any other type of motor (permanent magnet, induction, etc.)

9.1.3 Corrected Input Power—Corrected input power, \( P_s \), expressed in watts, is the wattmeter reading, \( P \), times the correction factor, \( C_p \), as follows:

\[
P_s = C_p P \tag{5}
\]

9.1.3.1 For series universal motors the correction factor, \( C_p \), is calculated as follows:

\[
C_p = 1 + 0.5(1 - D_r) \tag{6}
\]

9.1.3.2 This test method does not have any formulas available for correcting input power for any other types of motor (permanent magnet, induction, etc.)

9.2 Corrected Airflow—Calculate the corrected airflow, \( Q \), expressed in cubic feet per minute (see Note 4 and Appendix X2) as follows:

\[
Q = 21.844 D^2 K_1 \sqrt{h_s} \tag{7}
\]

where:

\( Q \) = corrected flow, cfm,
\( D \) = orifice diameter, in.,
\( K_1 \) = constant (dimensionless), orifice flow coefficients for orifices in the plenum chamber. See Table 1 for values for each orifice. See Ref (1) for the derivation of these flow coefficients.
\( h_s \) = corrected suction, in. of water.

### Table 1 Orifice Flow Coefficient Equations (\( K_i \))

| Orifice Diameter, in. (mm) | Orifice Flow Coefficient Equation
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.250 (6.3)               | \( K_i = 0.575r - 0.5955 \) r = \( 0.9948 \)
| 0.375 (9.5)               | \( K_i = 0.5553r - 0.5754 \) r = \( 0.9983 \)
| 0.500 (12.7)              | \( K_i = 0.5694r - 0.5786 \) r = \( 0.9938 \)
| 0.625 (15.8)              | \( K_i = 0.5692r - 0.5767 \) r = \( 0.9904 \)
| 0.750 (19.0)              | \( K_i = 0.5715r - 0.5807 \) r = \( 0.99138 \)
| 0.875 (22.2)              | \( K_i = 0.5740r - 0.5841 \) r = \( 0.99138 \)
| 1.000 (25.4)              | \( K_i = 0.5687r - 0.5785 \) r = \( 0.99148 \)
| 1.125 (28.6)              | \( K_i = 0.5675r - 0.5919 \) r = \( 0.99225 \)
| 1.250 (31.7)              | \( K_i = 0.5717r - 0.5814 \) r = \( 0.99152 \)
| 1.375 (34.9)              | \( K_i = 0.5680r - 0.5826 \) r = \( 0.99235 \)
| 1.500 (38.1)              | \( K_i = 0.5719r - 0.5820 \) r = \( 0.99165 \)
| 1.750 (44.5)              | \( K_i = 0.5695r - 0.5839 \) r = \( 0.99235 \)
| 2.000 (50.8)              | \( K_i = 0.5757r - 0.5853 \) r = \( 0.99157 \)
| 2.250 (57.2)              | \( K_i = 0.5709r - 0.5878 \) r = \( 0.99279 \)
| 2.500 (63.5)              | \( K_i = 0.5660r - 0.59024 \) r = \( 0.99400 \)

Note 4—For the corrected airflow expressed in liters per second, use the following equation:

\[
Q = 10.309 D^2 K_1 \sqrt{h_s}
\]

where:

\( Q \) = corrected flow, L/s,
9.3 Air Power—Calculate the air power, \( AP \), in watts, as follows:

\[
AP = 0.117354 (Q)(h_s)
\]

where:

\[
AP = \text{air power, W},
\]

\[
Q = \text{corrected flow, cfm, and}
\]

\[
h_s = \text{corrected suction, inch of water.}
\]

**Note 5**—See Appendix X3 for derivation.


10. Report

10.1 For each vacuum cleaner sample from the population being tested, report the following information:

10.1.1 Manufacturer’s name and product model name or number, or both.
10.1.2 Type of cleaner; that is, upright, canister, etc.
10.1.3 The corrected input power, corrected vacuum, corrected airflow, and air power for each orifice used.
10.1.4 Calculated maximum air power.
10.1.5 Indicate the method of testing, end of hose or nozzle on plenum.

11. Precision and Bias\(^{6}\)

11.1 The following precision statements are based on inter-laboratory tests involving eight laboratories and four units.

11.2 The statistics have been calculated as recommended in Practice E691.

11.3 The following statements regarding repeatability limit and reproducibility limit are used as directed in Practice E177.

11.4 The End of Hose Coefficients of Variation of repeatability and reproducibility of the measured results have been derived from nine sets of data, where each of two sets have been performed by a single analyst within each of the eight laboratories on separate days using the same test unit.\(^{6}\)

11.5 The Nozzle Coefficients of Variation of repeatability and reproducibility of the measured results have been derived from seven sets of data, where each of two sets have been performed by a single analyst within each of the seven laboratories on separate days using the same test unit.\(^{6}\)

11.6 Repeatability (Single Operator and Laboratory, Multiday Testing)—The ability of a single analyst to repeat the test within a single laboratory.

11.6.1 The expected coefficient of variation of the measured results within a laboratory, CV %\( _r \), has been found to be the respective values listed in Table 2.

11.6.2 The 95 % repeatability limit within a laboratory, \( r \), has been found to be the respective values listed in Table 2, where \( r = 2.8 \) (CV %\( _r \)).

11.6.3 With 95 % confidence, it can be stated that within a laboratory a set of measured results derived from testing a unit should be considered suspect if the difference between any two of the three values is greater than the respective value of the repeatability limit, \( r \), listed in Table 2.

11.6.4 If the absolute value of the difference of any pair of measured results from three test runs performed within a single laboratory is not equal to or less than the respective repeatability limit listed in Table 2, that set of results shall be considered suspect.

11.7 Reproducibility (Multiday Testing and Single Operator Within Multilaboratories)—The ability to repeat the test within multiple laboratories.

11.7.1 The expected coefficient of variation of reproducibility of the average of a set of measured results between multiple laboratories, CV %\( _R \), has been found to be the respective values listed in Table 2.

11.7.2 The 95 % reproducibility limit within a laboratory, \( R \), has been found to be the respective values listed in Table 2, where \( R = 2.8 \) (CV %\( _R \)).

11.7.3 With 95 % confidence, it can be stated that the average of the measured results from a set of three test runs performed in one laboratory, as compared to a second laboratory, should be considered suspect if the difference between those two values is greater than the respective values of the reproducibility limit, \( R \), listed in Table 2.

11.7.4 If the absolute value of the difference between the average of the measured results from the two laboratories is not equal to or less than the respective reproducibility limit listed in Table 2, the set of results from both laboratories shall be considered suspect.

11.8 Bias—No justifiable statement can be made on the accuracy of this test method for testing the properties listed. The true values of the properties cannot be established by acceptable referee methods.

12. Keywords

12.1 airflow; air performance; air power; suction; suction power; vacuum cleaner

\(^{6}\) Complete data on the round-robin test is available from ASTM Headquarters. Request RR:F11-1010.
A1. MATHEMATICAL METHOD FOR DETERMINING MAXIMUM AIR POWER POINT

A1.1 The following, second degree polynomial equation, is assumed to provide the best mathematical approximation of the air power versus airflow relationship.

\[ Y = A_1 + A_2 X + A_3 X^2 \]  
(A1.1)

where:

- \( Y \) = air power (AP),
- \( X \) = airflow (Q), and
- \( A_1, A_2, \) and \( A_3 \) = arbitrary constants.

A1.1.1 Use \( X \) and \( Y \) values obtained from only five specific orifices selected as follows:

A1.1.1.1 Using the test data, determine the orifice size that will determine the value of \( X_m \) where \( Y \) is at its maximum value \( f(Y_{\max}) \) as follows:

\[
\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ A_1 + A_2 X + A_3 X^2 \right] = 0 
\]  
(A1.5)

Substituting \( X_m \) as the value of \( X \) at \( Y_{\max} \) and solve for \( X_m \):

\[
X_m = -\frac{A_2}{2A_3} 
\]  
(A1.6)

Substituting this value of \( X_m \) and \( A_1, A_2, \) and \( A_3, \) into Eq 1 will determine the value of \( Y_{\max} (AP) \) as follows:

\[
Y_{\max} = A_1 + A_2 X_m + A_3 X_m^2 
\]  
(A1.7)

A1.4 Calculate the goodness of fit, \( R \) (correlation coefficient) as follows:

\[
R = 1 - \frac{\sum (Y_{i \text{ OBS}} - Y_{i \text{ CAL}})^2}{\sum (Y_{i \text{ OBS}} - Y_{i \text{ OBS}})^2} 
\]  
(A1.8)

where:

- \( Y_{i \text{ CAL}} = A_1 + A_2 X_{i \text{ OBS}} + A_3 X_{i \text{ OBS}}^2 \)  
(A1.9)

and:

\[
Y_{i \text{ OBS}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum Y_{i \text{ OBS}} 
\]  
(A1.10)

A1.4.1 If \( R \) is not greater than or equal to 0.900, the test must be performed again and the new set of data shall be used.

A2. DETERMINATION OF 90 % CONFIDENCE INTERVAL

A2.1 Theory:

A2.1.1 The most common and ordinarily the best estimate of the population mean, \( \mu \), is simply the arithmetic mean, \( \bar{x} \), of the individual scores (measurements) of the units comprising a sample taken from the population. The average score of these units will seldom be exactly the same as the population mean; however, it is expected to be fairly close so that in using the following procedure it can be stated with 90 % confidence that the true mean of the population, \( \mu \), lies within 5 % of the calculated mean, \( \bar{x} \), of the sample taken from the population as stated in Section 6.

A2.1.2 The following procedure provides a confidence interval about the sample mean which is expected to bracket \( \mu \), the true population mean, 100(1-\( \alpha \)) % of the time where \( \alpha \) is the chance of being wrong. Therefore, 1-\( \alpha \) is the probability or level of confidence of being correct.
A2.1.3 The desired level of confidence is 1 - \(\alpha = 0.90\) or 90 % as stated in Section 11. Therefore \(\alpha = 0.10\) or 10 %.

A2.1.4 Compute the mean, \(x\), and the standard deviation, \(s\), of the individual scores of the sample taken from the population:

\[
\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, \quad (A2.1)
\]

\[
s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right)^2}{n(n-1)}} \quad (A2.2)
\]

where:

- \(n\) = number of units tested, and
- \(X_i\) = the value of the individual test unit score of the \(i\)th test unit. As will be seen in the procedural example to follow, this is the average value of the results from three test runs performed on an individual test unit with the resulting set of data meeting the repeatability requirements of Section 11.

A2.1.5 Determine the value of the \(t\) statistic for \(n - 1\) degrees of freedom, \(df\), from Table A2.1 at a 95 % confidence level.

Note A2.1—The value of \(t\) is defined as \(t_{1-\alpha/2}\) and is read as "at 95 % confidence."

\[
t \text{ statistic } = t_{1-\alpha/2} = t_{0.95} \quad (A2.3)
\]

where:

\(1-\alpha/2 = 1 - 0.10/2 = 1 - 0.05 = 0.95\), or 95 %.

A2.1.6 The following equations establish the upper and lower limits of an interval centered about \(\bar{x}\) that will provide the level of confidence required to assert that the true population mean lies within this interval:

\[
CI_U = \bar{x} + ts/\sqrt{n} \quad (A2.4)
\]

\[
CI_L = \bar{x} - ts/\sqrt{n} \quad (A2.5)
\]

where:

- \(CI\) = Confidence Interval (\(U\) - upper limit; \(L\) - lower limit),
- \(\bar{x}\) = mean score of the sample taken from the population,
- \(t\) = \(t\) statistic from Table A2.1 at 95 % confidence level,
- \(s\) = standard deviation of the sample taken from the population, and
- \(n\) = number of units tested.

A2.1.7 It is desired to assert with 90 % confidence that the true population mean, \(\mu\), lies within the interval, \(CI_U\) to \(CI_L\), centered about the sample mean, \(\bar{x}\). Therefore, the quantity \(ts/\sqrt{n}\) shall be less than some value, \(A\), which shall be 5 % of \(\bar{x}\) in accordance with the sampling statement of 6.1.

A2.1.8 As \(n \rightarrow \infty\), \(ts/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0\). As this relationship indicates, a numerically smaller confidence interval may be obtained by using a larger number of test units, \(n\), for the sample. Therefore, when the standard deviation, \(s\), of the sample is large and the level of confidence is not reached after testing three units, a larger sample size, \(n\), shall be used.

A2.2 Procedure—A graphical flow chart for the following procedure is shown in Fig. A2.1.

A2.2.1 Select three units from the population for testing as the minimum sample size.

A2.2.2 Obtain individual test unit scores by averaging the results of three test runs performed on each of the three individual test units. The data set resulting from the three test runs performed on each individual test unit shall meet the respective repeatability requirement found in Section 11.

A2.2.3 Compute \(\bar{x}\) and \(s\) of the sample.

A2.2.4 Compute the value of \(A\) where \(A = 0.05(\chi)\)

A2.2.5 Determine the statistic \(t\) for \(n - 1\) degrees of freedom from Table A2.1 where \(n\) = the number of test units.

A2.2.6 Compute \(ts/\sqrt{n}\) for the sample and compare it to the value to \(A\).

A2.2.7 If the value of \(ts/\sqrt{n} > A\), an additional unit from the population shall be selected and tested, and the computations of steps A2.2.2-A2.2.6 repeated.

A2.2.8 If the value of \(ts/\sqrt{n} < A\), the desired 90 % confidence level has been obtained. The value of the final \(\bar{x}\) may be used as the best estimate of the air power rating for the population.

A2.3 Example—The following data is chosen to illustrate how the attachment hose value of air power for the population of a vacuum cleaner model is derived. The measured test results from three test runs on each unit are required to have a repeatability limit not exceeding 6.132 as indicated in Section 11.

A2.3.1 Select three test units from the vacuum cleaner model population. A minimum of three test runs shall be performed using each test unit.

### Table A2.1 Percentiles of the \(t\) Distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(df)</th>
<th>(t_{0.95})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.753</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A2.3.2 Test run scores for Test Unit No. 1:
  
  Test Run No. 1 = 77.4 
  Test Run No. 2 = 83.4 
  Test Run No. 3 = 82.1 

A2.3.3 Maximum spread = 83.4 - 77.4 = 6 

% difference = maximum spread/maximum score = 6/83.4 = 7.2%  

This value is greater than the repeatability limit required in Section 11. The results shall be discarded and three additional test runs performed.

A2.3.4 Test run scores for Test Unit No. 1:
  
  Test Run No. 4 = 82.4 
  Test Run No. 5 = 80.9 
  Test Run No. 6 = 81.8 

A2.3.5 Maximum spread = 82.4 - 80.9 = 1.5 

% difference = maximum spread/maximum score = 1.5/82.4 = 1.8%  

This value is less than the repeatability limit requirement of Section 11.

A2.3.6 Unit No. 1 score = (82.4 + 80.9 + 81.8)/3 = 81.7 

NOTE A2.2—If it is necessary to continue repeated test run sets (7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, etc.) because the spread of data within a data set is not less than the repeatability limit requirement stated in Section 11, there may be a problem with the test equipment, the execution of the test procedure, or any of the other factors involved in the test procedure. Consideration should be given to re-evaluating all aspects of the test procedure for the cause(s).

A2.3.7 A minimum of two additional test units must be tested, each meeting the repeatability limit requirement. For this procedural example, assume those units met the repeatability requirement and the individual unit scores are:
  
  Score of Test Unit No. 1 = 81.7 
  Score of Test Unit No. 2 = 88.3 
  Score of Test Unit No. 3 = 86.6 

A2.3.8 

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{1}{3} (81.7 + 88.3 + 86.6) = 85.5 \]  

(A2.8)

A2.3.9 

\[ s = \sqrt{\frac{3((81.7)^2 + (88.3)^2 + (86.6)^2) - (81.7 + 88.3 + 86.6)^2}{3(3 - 1)}} \]  

(A2.9)

where:

\[ s = 3.426. \]

A2.3.10 

\[ A = 0.05 (85.5) = 4.276 \]  

(A2.10)

A2.3.11 

degrees of freedom, \( n - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2 \)  

(A2.11)

\[ t_{0.05} \text{ statistic} = 2.920 \]  

(A2.12)

A2.3.13 Since 5.777 > 4.276, the requirement that \( ts/\sqrt{n} < A \) has not been met because \( s \) is large. Therefore, an additional test unit from the population shall be tested.

A2.3.14 Score of Test Unit No. 4 = 84.5.

A2.3.15 

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{1}{4} (81.7 + 88.3 + 86.6 + 84.5) = 85.3 \]  

(A2.13)

A2.3.16
\[ s = \frac{4 \left[ (81.7)^2 + (88.3)^2 + (86.6)^2 + (84.5)^2 \right]}{4 (4 - 1)} = \frac{1}{2.845} \]  

\[(\text{A2.14})\]

\[ A = 0.05 (85.3) = 4.264 \]  

\[(\text{A2.15})\]

\[ a (\text{dry air portion}) = (B_t - e) \]

\[ b (\text{water vapor portion}) = e \]  

\[(\text{X1.2})\]

\[ \rho_a = \frac{70.7261}{53.34} \times \frac{B_t - e}{T_d + 459.7} \]

\[(\text{X1.2.3})\]

\[ \rho_{\text{test}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{test}}}{\rho_{\text{std}}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{test}}}{0.075} \]

\[(\text{X1.2.4})\]

\[ e = \frac{s v p - B_t (T_d - T_w)}{2700} \]

\[(\text{X1.2.6})\]

\[ t_{0.05} \text{ statistic} = 2.353 \]

\[(\text{A2.19})\]

\[ ts/\sqrt{n} = 2.353 (2.845)/\sqrt{4} = 3.347 \]

\[(\text{A2.20})\]

\[ A2.3.20 3.347 < 4.264 \text{ (meets requirements)} \]

\[ A2.3.21 \text{ Thus, the value of } x, 85.3, \text{ represents the air power score for the vacuum cleaner model tested and may be used as the best estimate of the air power rating for the population mean.} \]

\[ \frac{\rho}{R} = \frac{V}{MW_v} = \frac{V}{28.964} = \frac{53.34}{28.964} \]

\[(\text{X1.3})\]

\[ b = \frac{70.7261}{53.34} \times 0.622e \]

\[(\text{X1.4})\]

\[ D_r = \frac{\rho_{\text{test}}}{\rho_{\text{std}}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{test}}}{0.075} \]

\[(\text{X1.5})\]

\[ e = \frac{s v p - B_t (T_d - T_w)}{2700} \]

\[(\text{X1.6})\]

\[ s v p = 2.959910^{-4} T_v^2 - 1.5927 \times 10^{-2} T_v + 4.102 (10^{-1}) \]

\[(\text{X1.7})\]

\[ D_r = [17.68 (B_t - 0.378 e) + 0.0024575 B_t (T_d - T_w) - 2.741]/(T_d + 459.7) \]

\[(\text{X1.8})\]
X1.3 Error Analysis for Usable Range of svp Equation

Note X1.2—See error analysis for usable range in AMCA Standard 210-85.

COMPUTATION METHODS FOR SVP COMPARISON

X1.3.1 The svp equation is taken from AMCA Standard 210-85 and used in X1.2 versus svp value tabulations in Ref (2).

ANALYSIS

X1.3.2 Probability of Error in svp:

X1.3.2.1 The plot of data shows very little error at 80°F (26.7°C) and below but increasingly larger error as Tw increases above 80°F.

EFFECT ON SVP ERROR ON CALCULATION OF E (X1.2.6)

X1.3.3 The worst error is when $T_d = T_w$ (that is, 100 % relative humidity). At that point the “e” error = svp error. Error in “e” reduces with decreasing humidity.

X1.3.4 The $B - 0.378 e$ factor greatly reduces any error in “e” (or svp) since $B$ is far greater in magnitude than 0.378e.

X1.3.4.1 The worst-error case is with lowest “B” and highest “e.”

CONCLUSION

X1.3.5 The worst-error condition is with low barometric condition, high wet-bulb temperature, and 100 % relative humidity.

X1.3.6 If the $D_r$ equation is restricted to minimum value of $B = 27.00$ in. of mercury absolute and maximum value of $T_w = 100°F$ (37.8°C) then at the worst-case condition of 100 % relative humidity the $D_r$ error = +0, -0.23 %.

X2. DERIVATION OF AIR FLOW FORMULA FROM ASME STANDARDS

X2.1 From Ref (3), p. 54, eq. (1-5-36):

\[
Q_1 = 0.099702 \left( \frac{C Y d^2 F_3}{1 - \beta^4} \right) \sqrt{\frac{h_s}{\rho_{std}}} \tag{X2.1}
\]

where:

- $Q_1$ = flow rate at standard, air density and temperature, ft³/s,
- $C$ = coefficient of discharge, dimensionless,
- $Y$ = expansion factor, dimensionless,
- $F_3$ = thermal expansion factor, dimensionless,
- $\beta$ = d/D, dimensionless,
- $d$ = orifice diameter, in.,
- $D$ = diameter of pipe upstream, in.,
- $h_s$ = differential pressure at standard conditions in. H₂O, and
- $\rho_{std}$ = air density at standard conditions, 0.075 lb/ft³.

X2.1.1 This equation determines the rate of gas flow in a pipe system, and measured with a venturi tube, a flow nozzle, or an orifice plate measuring device mounted in the pipe.

X2.1.2 The equation (1-5-36) from Ref (3), page 54, uses the symbol $\rho_1$ instead of $\rho_{std}$ for the air density at standard conditions, $q_1$ instead of $Q_1$ for flow rate at standard air density and temperature, and $h_1$ instead of $h_w$ for differential pressure at standard conditions. The symbols $\rho_1$, $q_1$, and $h_1$, were changed to $\rho_{std}$, $Q_1$, and $h_s$, respectively as a matter of consistency within this standard and clarity. ($\rho_1 = \rho_{std}$, $h_s = h_w$, $Q_1 = q_1$).

X2.2 Converting to ft³/min flow rate, substituting 0.075 for the value of $\rho_{std}$ substituting $K$ for $CF_3/\sqrt{1-B^4}$ and simplifying:

\[
Q = 21.844 KYd^2 \sqrt{h_s} \tag{X2.2}
\]

where:

- $Q$ = flow rate at standard, air density and temperature, cfm,
- $K$ = orifice flow coefficient, dimensionless,
- $d$ = orifice diameter, in., and
- $h_s$ = differential pressure at standard conditions, in. of water.

X2.3 The ASTM plenum chamber, as specified in Specification F431, is not a measuring device that uses a pipe. The flow from ambient into the sharp edged orifice plate is unrestricted and a plenum chamber is placed immediately, downstream of the orifice plate.

X2.3.1 Thus the orifice flow coefficient, $K$, and the expansion factor, of X2.2 are different for the plenum chamber specified in Specification F431.

X2.3.2 For the plenum chamber specified in Specification F431, the combination of the orifice flow coefficient $K$ and the expansion factor, $Y$, were empirically determined as a singular, orifice flow coefficient $K_1$.

X2.3.3 The value of $K_1$ will vary for each of the orifice plates identified in Section 9.

X2.4 Replacing $K$ and $Y$ in the equation of X2.2 with $K_1$ results in:

\[
Q = 21.844 K_1 d^2 \sqrt{h_s} \tag{X2.3}
\]

where:

- $Q$ = flow rate at standard, air density and temperature, cfm,
- $K_1$ = orifice flow coefficient for the Specification F431 plenum chamber, dimensionless,
- $d$ = orifice diameter, in., and
- $h_s$ = differential pressure at standard conditions, in. of water.

X2.4.1 This equation determines the rate of gas flow, in ft³/min through a thin plate square edged orifice, mounted in accordance with Specification F431.
X3. DERIVATION OF AIR POWER EQUATION

X3.1 Power is defined as the rate of doing work in a given period of time and can be expressed by the following general equation:

\[ P = Fv \]  

(X3.1)

where:

- \( P \) = power,
- \( F \) = force, and
- \( v \) = velocity.

\[ P = \frac{745.7}{33000} Fv \]  

(X3.2)

where:

- \( AP \) = air power, \( W \),
- \( F \) = force generated by the air stream passing through the orifice, lbs, and
- \( v \) = velocity, ft/min.

X3.2 Air power as defined in the terminology section (see 3.1.1) is the net time rate of work performed by an air stream while expending energy to produce air flow by a vacuum cleaner under specified air resistance conditions, expressed in watts. Therefore air power is:

\[ AP = \frac{745.7}{33000} Fv \]  

(X3.2)

\[ F = \frac{1}{12} \rho h A \]  

(X3.4)

where:

- \( F \) = force generated by air stream passing through the orifice, lbs,
- \( \rho \) = density of water at (68°F), \( 62.3205 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \),
- \( h \) = differential pressure at standard conditions, in. of water, and
- \( A \) = cross sectional area of the orifice, \( \text{ft}^2 \).

X3.3.1 The constant \( \frac{1}{12} \) is used to maintain the correct set of units.

\[ 1 \text{ watt} = \frac{33000 \text{ ft lb}}{745.7 \text{ min}} \]  

(X3.3)

\[ \rho \text{Hg} \text{ at } 32°F \text{, } (\rho \text{Hg}) = 848.71312 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \].

X3.4 The velocity is given by the following equation:

\[ V = \frac{Q}{A} \]  

(X3.6)

where:

- \( V \) = velocity of air stream passing through the orifice, ft/min,
- \( Q \) = flow rate at standard, air density and temperature, cfm, and
- \( A \) = cross sectional area of the orifice, \( \text{ft}^2 \).

X3.3.2 Substituting equations from X3.3.1 and X3.3.2 into the equation of X3.2, \( p = 62.3205 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \), and simplifying;

\[ AP = 0.117354 h Q \]  

(X3.7)

\[ F = \frac{1}{12} \rho h A \]  

(X3.4)

where:

- \( F \) = force generated by air stream passing through the orifice, lbs,
- \( \rho \) = density of water at (68°F), \( 62.3205 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \),
- \( h \) = differential pressure at standard conditions, in. of water, and
- \( Q \) = flow rate at standard air density and temperature, cfm.

X4. STANDARD CONDITIONS

X4.1 Dry-bulb temperature, \( T_D \) = 68°F.

X4.2 Atmospheric pressure = 14.69595 psi.

X4.3 Relative humidity (approximate) = 30 %.

X4.4 Density of mercury at 32°F (Note X4.1), \((\rho \text{Hg}) = 848.71312 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \).

X4.5 Density of water at 68°F, \((\rho \text{water}) = 62.3205 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \).

X4.6 Density of air at 68°F, 30 % relative humidity, \( \rho_0 = 0.075 \text{ lb/ft}^3 \).

X4.7 Barometer reading, \( B_0 = \rho_0 / \rho \text{Hg} \text{ at } 123 = 14.69595 \text{ in. Hg at } 32°F \text{ (Note X4.1).} \]

X4.8 Water column height = \( B_0 \text{Hg} \text{ at } 32°F = 14.69595 \text{ in. Hg at } 32°F \).

X4.9 To convert inches of mercury at 32°F to pounds force per square inch, multiply by 14.69595/29.921 = 0.491153 (use 0.4912).

X4.10 To convert inches of water at 68°F to pounds force per square inch, multiply by 14.69595/407.4839 = 0.0360511 (use 0.03607).

**Note X4.1—Mercury barometer readings are to be corrected to 32°F. See Kent’s Mechanical Engineers Handbook.**

X4.11 All constants are from AMCA Standard 210-85 and Refs (3) and (4).
## X5. MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM $h$ VALUES BY ORIFICE SIZE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orifice Diameter, in. (mm)</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.250 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.375 (9.5)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500 (12.7)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.625 (15.8)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.750 (19)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.875 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000 (25.4)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.250 (31.7)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500 (38.1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000 (50.8)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## X6. ALTERNATE EQUATIONS FOR FINDING ORIFICE FLOW COEFFICIENT

Note X6.1—These equations are the results of substituting the $r$ equation into the Table 1, $K_1$, equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orifice Diameter, in. (mm)</th>
<th>Flow Coefficient</th>
<th>Orifice Diameter, in. (mm)</th>
<th>Flow Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.250 (6.3)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.02010h + 0.01866B}{0.03807h + 0.02298B}$</td>
<td>1.250 (31.7)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020621h + 0.004764B}{0.03807h + 0.007466B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.375 (9.5)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020029h + 0.009873B}{0.03807h + 0.01291B}$</td>
<td>1.375 (34.9)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020488h + 0.007466B}{0.03807h + 0.01154B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500 (12.7)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020572h + 0.004519B}{0.03807h + 0.00678B}$</td>
<td>1.500 (38.1)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020628h + 0.004961B}{0.03807h + 0.00810B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.625 (15.8)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020614h + 0.00459B}{0.03807h + 0.00508B}$</td>
<td>1.750 (44.5)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020542h + 0.007073B}{0.03807h + 0.01154B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.750 (19)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020621h + 0.004519B}{0.03807h + 0.00678B}$</td>
<td>2.000 (50.8)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020767h + 0.004715B}{0.03807h + 0.00771B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.875 (22.2)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020572h + 0.004519B}{0.03807h + 0.00678B}$</td>
<td>2.250 (57.2)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020542h + 0.007073B}{0.03807h + 0.01154B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000 (25.4)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020572h + 0.004519B}{0.03807h + 0.00678B}$</td>
<td>2.500 (63.5)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020542h + 0.007073B}{0.03807h + 0.01154B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.125 (28.6)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020572h + 0.004519B}{0.03807h + 0.00678B}$</td>
<td>2.750 (71)</td>
<td>$K_1 = \frac{0.020416h + 0.011907B}{0.03807h + 0.019848B}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
X7. EXAMPLE OF CALCULATING AIR POWER AT TWO DIFFERENT TEST LOCATIONS

X7.1 This example shows the calculations of air density for two different test locations at two different elevations and the results of the maximum air power calculations.

X7.2 This example attempts to show the importance of using the test station pressure or absolute barometric pressure in the calculations of the air density instead of the equivalent mean sea level value of the absolute barometric pressure.

X7.2.1 Air density or the weight of the air per unit volume at a particular test location is influenced by the local weather conditions, the test locations height above sea level, the heating, cooling and ventilation system of the test facility, etc.

X7.2.1.1 In general, air density decreases as the elevation increases. The amount of the atmosphere above the test location decreases as elevation increases; thus the weight of the air above the test location decreases resulting in a lower air density.

X7.2.1.2 Air density is effected by the amount of moisture within the air. Water vapor adds weight to the air.

X7.3 For this example, a vacuum cleaner having the characteristics shown in Table X7.1 at standard air density conditions in accordance with 3.1.4 shall be used.

X7.3.1 The calculated maximum air power for this unit is 152 air watts.

X7.3.2 It will be assumed that this cleaner performs perfectly each time it is used (that is, no motor performance variations, the hose is laid out the exact same way for each test etc.)

TEST LOCATION 1: LOW ELEVATION

X7.4 In Harrisburg, PA, an independent test laboratory located 355 ft above sea level measured the maximum air power of the vacuum cleaner described in X7.3 per Specification F558. At the test location and test time, the laboratory power of the vacuum cleaner described in X7.4.2 the test laboratory also recorded the equivalent mean sea level barometric pressure value. This value was obtained from their local airport. It was 29.50 inHg and represented what the barometric pressure would be at 0 ft elevation not at the test laboratories elevation of 355 ft.

X7.5 The air density ratio, Dr, was computed using the values in X7.4 because these were the ambient conditions at the test location at the time of the test. Dr was calculated as follows:

\[ D_r = \frac{17.68(29.10) - 0.001978(61.0)^2 + 0.1064(61.0)}{(70.0 + 459.7)} \]

\[ D_r = 0.9657 \]

X7.6 Using the value for Dr, the suction correction factor, Cs, and the input power correction factor, Cp, were calculated as shown below:

\[ C_s = 1 + 0.667(1 - D_r) \]

\[ C_p = 1 + 0.5(1 - D_r) \] (X7.1)

\[ C_s = 1 + 0.667(1 - 0.9657) \]

\[ C_p = 1 + 0.5(1 - 0.9657) \]

\[ C_s = 1.0229 \]

\[ C_p = 1.0172 \]

X7.7 These correction factors were then used to compute the corrected suction hs, and the corrected input power Ps. In addition the airflow and air watt values were calculated for each orifice plate. The results are shown in Table X7.2.

X7.7.1 The following calculations show an example of how the corrected suction, hs, correct input power, Ps, airflow, Q, and Air Power, AP, were computed:

\[ h_s = 29.10 \text{ in. Hg} \]

\[ T_w = 61.0 \text{ °F} \]

\[ T_d = 70.0 \text{ °F} \]

### TABLE X7.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orifice Diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Input Power, ( P_s ) (watts)</th>
<th>Suction, ( h_s ) (in. H2O)</th>
<th>Airflow, ( Q ) (cfm)</th>
<th>Air Power, ( AP ) (air watts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>114.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>128.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>17.70</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>142.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>151.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>25.70</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>150.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>30.40</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>141.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>35.20</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>122.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>40.20</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>44.50</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>49.30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE X7.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orifice Diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Input Power, ( P_s ) (watts)</th>
<th>Suction, ( h_s ) (in. H2O)</th>
<th>Corrected Power, ( P_s ) (watts)</th>
<th>Corrected Suction, ( h_s ) (in. H2O)</th>
<th>Airflow, ( Q ) (cfm)</th>
<th>Air Power, ( AP ) (air watts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1.6980</td>
<td>107.1341</td>
<td>21.3483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>3.7949</td>
<td>101.8055</td>
<td>45.3390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>5.6044</td>
<td>97.7049</td>
<td>68.8465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>9.4004</td>
<td>88.6998</td>
<td>97.8511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>11.7019</td>
<td>83.6217</td>
<td>114.8346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>14.3000</td>
<td>76.3714</td>
<td>128.1638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>17.6960</td>
<td>68.8672</td>
<td>143.0164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>21.02</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>21.5012</td>
<td>59.8448</td>
<td>151.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>25.6950</td>
<td>49.7649</td>
<td>150.0619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>30.4000</td>
<td>39.7197</td>
<td>141.7041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>34.41</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>35.1977</td>
<td>29.6375</td>
<td>122.4203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>39.33</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>40.1996</td>
<td>20.1266</td>
<td>94.9488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>43.55</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>44.4985</td>
<td>12.2060</td>
<td>63.7367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>48.55</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>47.0019</td>
<td>5.9030</td>
<td>32.5601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>49.30</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>49.3034</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the air power, \( AP \), were computed for each orifice. In the calculations below the 0.750 in. diameter orifice data was used.

X7.7.1.1 The corrected suction was calculated as follows:

\[
h_s = C_s h
\]  
\[
h_s = (1.0229)(29.72)
\]

\[
h_s = 30.4003
\]

X7.7.1.2 The corrected input power was calculated as follows:

\[
P_s = C_s P
\]

\[
P_s = (1.0172)(655)
\]

\[
P_s = 666
\]

X7.7.1.3 The airflow for the 0.750 in. diameter orifice was calculated as follows:

\[
Q = 21.844 D^2 K_1 \sqrt{h_s}
\]  
\[
K_1, \text{ for 0.750 in. orifice } = \frac{0.5715 r - 0.5807}{r - 1.0138}
\]

\[
r = \frac{B_s(0.4912) - h_s(0.03607)}{B_s(0.4912)}
\]

\[
D = 0.750
\]

\[
h = 29.95
\]

\[
h_s = 30.40
\]

Solving for \( r \):

\[
r = \frac{29.10(0.4912) - 29.95(0.03607)}{29.10(0.4912)} = 0.9244
\]  
\[
Solving for \( K_1 \):
\]

\[
K_1 = \frac{0.5715(0.9244) - 0.5807}{(0.9244) - 1.0138} = 0.5862
\]

Solving for \( Q \):

\[
Q = 21.844(0.750)^2(0.5862) \sqrt{30.40} = 39.7197
\]

X7.7.1.4 For the air power the calculations were as follows:

\[
AP = 0.117354 Q h_s
\]

\[
AP = 0.117354(39.7197)(30.4003)
\]

\[
AP = 141.7041
\]

X7.7.2 The calculations shown in X7.7.2 were made for each of the various orifice plates sizes used in the test.

X7.7.3 The maximum air power was calculated in accordance with the procedure outlined in Appendix X1 and found to be 152 air watts. This is in agreement with the vacuum cleaners characteristics described in X7.3.

X7.8 Had the independent laboratory incorrectly computed the maximum air power using the equivalent mean sea level value of barometric pressure (rather than absolute), the incorrectly calculated maximum air power would have been 150 air watts. (Based on incorrect air density ratio \( D_r = 0.9790 \); using \( B_s = 29.50\), \( T_w = 61.0°F \), and \( T_d = 71.0°F \)).

X7.8.1 Although the data was incorrect, the laboratory observed in their case that it did not make much difference in the results. This was due to the small difference between the test station pressure and the equivalent mean sea level value. (The small difference was a result of the test laboratory only being 355 ft above mean sea level).

X7.8.2 It is also worth noting that had the test laboratory actually tested the vacuum cleaner under the 29.50 inHg barometric pressure, the measured suction and input power values would have been slightly different for the vacuum cleaner.

**TEST LOCATION 2: HIGH ELEVATION**

X7.9 In El Paso, TX, an independent test laboratory located 3700 ft above sea level measured the maximum air power of the vacuum cleaner described in X7.3 per Specification F558.

X7.10 At the test location and test time, the laboratory measured the test station pressure, \( B_s \), the wet bulb temperature, \( T_w \), and the dry bulb temperature, \( T_d \). Their values were recorded as follows:

\[
B_s = 24.86 \text{ in. Hg}
\]

\[
T_w = 64.0°F
\]

\[
T_d = 80.0°F
\]

X7.10.1 The test station pressure, \( B_s \), or absolute barometric pressure was measured with an aneroid barometer. The actual reading of this particular aneroid barometer gave the absolute barometric pressure value and did not need any adjustments. It was noted in the instruction manual that this barometer had temperature compensation built into it.

X7.11 The test laboratory also recorded the equivalent mean sea level barometric pressure value. This value was obtained from a digital weather station within their laboratory that had been originally set up to report the mean sea level equivalent barometric pressure to coincide with local weather reports. The value was 28.64 inHg and represented what the barometric pressure would be at 0 ft elevation not at the test laboratories elevation of 3700 ft.

X7.12 The air density ratio, \( D_r \), was computed using the values in X7.10 as follows:

\[
D_r = \frac{17.68(24.86) - 0.001978(64.0)^2}{0.1064(64.0) + 0.0024579(24.86)(80.0 - 64.0) - 2.741}
\]

\[
D_r = 0.8087
\]

X7.13 Repeating the same calculation in X7.6 and X7.7 using the density ratio \( D_r \) from X7.12, the results are shown in Table X7.3.

X7.13.1 The air power was calculated to be 152 air watts. 

X7.14 Had the independent laboratory incorrectly computed the maximum air power using the equivalent mean sea level value of barometric pressure (rather than absolute), the incorrectly calculated maximum air power would have been 136 air watts. (Based on incorrect air density ratio \( D_r = 0.9328 \); using \( B_s = 28.64\), \( T_w = 64.0°F \), and \( T_d = 80.0°F \)).
X7.14.1 Seeing the difference, the independent test laboratory realized it was very important to use the correct test station barometric pressure to ensure that the data they would distribute would correlate with other test laboratories at different elevations operating under a different air density.

### REFERENCES


### TABLE X7.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orifice Diameter (in.)</th>
<th>Measured Data</th>
<th>Corrected Data (Data at Standard Conditions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orifice, D (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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